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I. HOSTS OF THE CONFERENCE

- The National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Institute for Economics and Forecasting of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Institute of Social and Political Psychology of the National Academy of Pedagogical Sciences of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Ukrainian Technological Academy (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Ukrainian Social Innovation Society (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Ukrainian Assembly of Doctors of Science in Public Administration (Kyiv, Ukraine)

II. PARTNERS

- Apparatus of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Bard College (Bard, New York, USA)
- Belarusian State University (Minsk, the Republic of Belarus)
- Open European Academy of Economics and Politics (Prague, the Czech Republic)
- Ukrainian Innovative Foundation People's Initiative (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Dnipropetrovsk Regional Institute of Public Administration of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Dnipro, Ukraine)
- Institute of Agricultural Economics (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Institute of State Management of the Petro Mohyla Black Sea National University (Mykolaiv, Ukraine)
- Institute of History of Ukraine of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Informational and Analytical Service “Peremoha” (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Karaganda State Technical University (Karaganda, the Republic of Kazakhstan)
- The Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- The Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University (Starobilsk, Ukraine)
- Lviv Regional Institute of Public Administration of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Lviv, Ukraine)
- Interregional Academy of Personnel Management (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- National Academy of Managerial Staff of Culture and Arts (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- the National Institute for Strategic Studies (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- The National Pedagogical Dragomanov University (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- The National Technical University “Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute” (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
- Lviv Polytechnic National University (Lviv, Ukraine)
- The National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Ivan Chernyakhovsky National Defense University of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- The Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University (Novosibirsk, the Russian Federation)
- Odesa Regional Institute of Public Administration of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Odesa, Ukraine)
- The Sumy State University (Sumy, Ukraine)
- Assessment s.r.o. (Prague, the Czech Republic)
- Paris Descartes University Paris V (Paris, France)
- Minsk subdivision of the Russian State Social University (Minsk, the Republic of Belarus)
- Semen Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
- The Kharkiv National Academy of Municipal Economy (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
- Kharkiv Regional Institute of Public Administration of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
- Cherkasy State Technological University (Cherkasy, Ukraine)
III. SPONSORSHIP

Legal entities:

- International Association of Special Forces Officers for Combating Organized Crime “CENTER” (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Scientific and Technical Center “Psychea” (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- “Attracti” LLC (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- “Rukh Avia” LLC (Kyiv, Ukraine)

Physical entities:

- Afonin Eduard Andriiovych, professor of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Blahodietieliev Serhii Viktorovych, entrepreneur (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Evtushok Yurii Ilarionovych, independent researcher (Kyiv, Ukraine)

IV. ORGANISING COMMITTEE

- Vasyl KUYBIDA, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Professor, President of the NAPA under the President of Ukraine – co-head of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Oleh AMOSOV, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Head of the Chair of Kharkiv Regional Institute of Public Administration, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine – co-head of the organizing committee (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
- Eduard AFONIN, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the NAPA under the President of Ukraine – research advisor of the theoretical and methodological seminar, member of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Olha BALAKIRIEVA, Candidate of Social Sciences, Head of the Division for Monitoring Research of Social and Economic Transformations of the Institute for Economics and Forecasting of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Vice-President of the Sociological Association of Ukraine – member of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Svitlana BLAHODIETIELIEVA-VOVK, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, independent researcher and social activist – member of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Pavlo KRUPKIN, Candidate of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, research advisor of the Research Center of the Present – member of the organizing committee (Paris, the French Republic)
- Michel MAFFESOLI, Professor of the Paris Descartes University / Paris V – member of the organizing committee (Paris, the French Republic)
- Kateryna MERKOTAN, Candidate of Political Sciences, independent researcher – member of the organizing committee (Borne Sulinowo, the Republic of Poland)
- Yuriy SAUKH, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the NAPA under the President of Ukraine – member of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Irvin STUDIN, Professor of the Université du Québec à Montréal, President of the Institute for 21st Century Questions, co-founder of Ukraine’s Higher School of Public Administration of the NAPA, Editor-in-Chief and Publisher of Global Brief magazine, member of the organizing committee (Toronto, Canada)
- Olena SUSHYI, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Head of the Laboratory for Methodology of Psychosocial and Political-Psychological Research of the Institute of Social and Political Psychology of the National Academy of Pedagogical Sciences of Ukraine – academic secretary of the theoretical and methodological seminar, member of the organizing committee (Kyiv, Ukraine)
- Hennadiii SHKLIAREVSKYI, Doctor of Historic Science, Professor, Professor of the Bard College – member of the organizing committee (Rhinebeck, NY, USA)
V. KEY DATES

15 March – deadline for the applications for participation in the annual events of the Ukrainian School of Archetypes

15 April – deadline to submit the manuscripts of the participants

28 May
- Completion of the preparation and printing in “Psychea” publishing house of the collection of research papers of the winners and the best authors of V International Contest of Young Scholars
- Meeting of M. Maffesoli at the Boryspil Airport (14.05)
- M. Maffesoli’s visiting to the National Reserve Sophia Kyivska (17.00-17.45)

29 May
- Final arrangements of the TMS-2017 (09.00-20.00)
- Public performance of M. Maffesoli at the National Academy of Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (09.30-11.30)
- Joint interview of the President of National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine, Vasyl Kuybida, and M. Maffesoli at the parliament TV channel “Rada” (12.00-13.00)
- Individual tour for M. Maffesoli to the National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Reserve (14.30-17.30)

30 May
- Public performance of M. Maffesoli at the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv (09.30-11.30)
- Tour to the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine for the participants of the TMS-2017 (11.00-13.00)
- Tour to the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine for the participants of the TMS-2017 (14.00-16.00)
- Departure of M. Maffesoli to the Boryspil Airport (14.55)

30.06 – Completion of editorial preparation and printing of the special edition of the professional journal “Public Administration” with the papers of the TMS-2017 participants

VI. TIMETABLE

V International Contest of Young Scholars (final part) and

29 May 2017 (Kyiv, NAPA, 12/2, Pugacheva Str.)

09.00-09.30 – Registration of the participants
09.30-10.00 – Opening and greeting of the participants of the Contest of Young Scholars and TMS-2017
10.00-11.30 – Public performance of Michel Maffesoli “Neo Tribalism and Modern Decentralisation Policy” (French with translation) for public administrators
11.30-12.30 – Plenary session (panel № 1): performances of the participants of the Contest of Young Scholars
12.30-13.30 – Lunch
13.30-15.30 – Plenary session (panel № 2): performances of the participants of the TMS-2017
15.30-18.00 – General discussion of the contestants and participants of the TMS-2017
18.00-18.45 – Performances of the experts, award ceremony, awarding of certificates and badges of the Ukrainian School of Archetypes
18.45-19.00 – Common photo session
19.00 – Dinner

Regulations: plenary speech – 7 minutes
information statement – 5 minutes
questions and answers – 3 minutes

Working languages – Ukrainian and English
The participants of the TMS-2017 will receive:

- programme of the events
- printed edition of the research papers of the young scholars
- e-version of the special edition of the professional journal “Public Administration”
- commemorative badge “Ukrainian School of Archetypes”

As the part of the TMS-2017 international expert committee will determine:

- The best papers of the participants Contest of Young Scholars, who will be awarded with monetary awards:
  1 award – UAH 3000
  2 award – UAH 2000
  3 award – UAH 1500
  three incentive awards – UAH 500 each

- The best papers of the main participants of the VIII TMS-2017, who will be awarded with:
  three incentive awards – UAH 1000 each

30 May 2017

09.30-11.00 – Public performance of professor Michel Maffesoli “Transformation of Political into Ethical and Moral Values of Postmodern Scope” (French with translation) for sociologists and members of the Sociological Association of Ukraine – Faculty of Sociology of the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, address: Hlushkova prospect, 4d, phone: +38 (044) 521-32-63, e-mail: office@soc.univ.kiev.ua

11.00-12.00 – Tour to the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine (5, Hrushevskogo Str., 1st entrance)

12.00-13.00 – Meeting with Viktor Yelenskyi, Member of Ukrainian Parliament, Vice-Head of the Committee on Culture and Spirituality of the Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine

14.30-16.30 – Tour to the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine and visiting of the museum of the governments of Ukraine (12/2, Hrushevskogo Str.)

VII. THEMATIC PART

V International Contest of Young Scholars (panel № 1)
VIII International Theoretical and Methodological Seminar “Archetypes and Public Administration: Mechanisms and Strategies for Conflicts Solution in the Modern World” (panel № 2)
(Ukraine, Kyiv, 12/2, Pugacheva St.)

Registration (9.00-9.30)
Opening (9.30-10.00). Greetings to the participants of the academic meeting
Public performance of Michel Maffesoli “Neo Tribalism and Modern Decentralisation Policy” (10.00-11.30)

Panel № 1 (11.30-12.30)

Moderators – AMOSOV Oleh, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor (Kharkiv, Ukraine)
SAUKH Yuriy, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor (Kyiv, Ukraine)

1. VESELSKA Maryna, post-graduate student of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: Archetypal nature of conflict in the professional activity of public servants. Kyiv, Ukraine.

2. HAHARINOV Oleksii, post-graduate student of the Semen Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics. Theme: The strategy of transformation of the post-Soviet state system of
Ukraine as a necessary condition for the resolution and prevention of social conflicts (archetypal approach). Kharkiv, Ukraine.

3. **GARNYK Liudmyla**, Candidate of Political Science, Senior Lecturer of Academic Ivan Zyazun department of Pedagogy and Psychology of Social Systems Management, Head of Quality Assurance Department for Higher Education, the National Technical University “Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute”. Theme: Archetypes of economic behaviour and their origins in scientific-philosophic thought of middle eastern countries. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

4. **DEMCHUK Iuliia**, post-graduate student of the Chair of Public Administration, the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Theme: *Archetype of Dialogicality as a Mechanism for Conflict Resolution and Overcoming Divisions in Ukrainian Society*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

5. **DZHAKUPOVA Dinara**, Master, Head of the Scientific-Research Institute of Patriotic Education, the Karaganda State Technical University. Theme: *Kazakhstan's tolerance: experience, achievements, prospects (archetypal analysis)*. Karaganda, the Republic of Kazakhstan.


7. **EVDOKIMOVA Elizaveta**, student of the Department of Social and Humanitarian Technologies, the National Technical University “Kharkiv Polytechnic”. Theme: *Archetypal approach to analysis of the relations between the leader and his team*. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

8. **ZOZULIA Victoria**, student of the Department of Social and Humanitarian Technologies, the National Technical University “Kharkiv Polytechnic”. Theme: *The conflict within the concept needs by Abraham Maslow and John Burton: archetypal analysis*. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

9. **KNYSHOVA Iryna**, post-graduate student of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Archetypes of social conflicts in the process of interaction between the state and civil society*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

10. **KOTOVSKA Olha**, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor, postdoctoral student of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Social conflicts in postmodern space of individual ontogeny and their influence on the sphere of public administration: archetypal and value approach*. Lviv, Ukraine.


13. **PONOMARENKO Lyudmyla**, Leading Specialist of the International Cooperation Division, the International Relations Department, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *National idea as a symbolic prerequisite of the revolutions of the 19th-20th centuries and equifinal model of social transformations of the 21st century*. Kyiv, Ukraine.


15. **SEMENETS-ORLOVA Inna**, Candidate of Political Science, postdoctoral student, Research Scientist of the Chair of Education Management, Research Scientist of the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Dynamics of educational in-
stutional changes in the context of conflict in the Ukrainian society: archetypal concept of “neo tribalism” by M. Maffesoli. Kyiv, Ukraine.

16. **HASANOV Ruslan**, post-graduate student of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: Social conflict: archetypal nature and decision-making mechanisms. Kyiv, Ukraine.


18. **YAKUSHKO Nadiia**, post-graduate student of the Chair of Education Management, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: Dialectics of leadership in the context of education development in Ukraine: archetypal analysis. Kyiv, Ukraine.

**Lunch (12.30-13.30)**

**Panel № 2 (13.30-15.30)**

**Moderators – SUSHYI Olena,** Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor (Kyiv, Ukraine)

**BALAKIRIEVA Olha**, Candidate of Social Sciences (Kyiv, Ukraine)

1. **AMOSOV Oleg**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Head of the Chair of Economic Theory and Finances of Kharkiv Regional Institute of Public Administration, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine, **GAVKALOVA Nataliia**, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor, Head of the Chair of Public Service, Public Administration and Regional Economy, Semen Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics. Theme: Archetypal approach to the diagnostics of social conflict. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

2. **AFONIN Eduard**, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine, **MARTYNOV Andrii**, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Leading Research Scientist of the Division of History of International Relations and Foreign Policy of Ukraine, Institute of History of Ukraine of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. Theme: Archetypes of social and political conflicts: from modernism to postmodernism. Kyiv, Ukraine.

3. **BIELSKA Tetiana**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of Management and Administration Department, O.M.Beketov National University of Urban Economy in Kharkiv, **USACHENKO Larysa**, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Professor, Professor of the Department of Public Administration Interregional Academy of Personnel Management. Theme: Functional features of institutional behaviour of subjects of global civil society in conflict zones (archetypical analysis). Kharkiv, Kyiv, Ukraine.


5. **VORONA Petro**, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Professor of the Chair of Parliamentarism and Political Management, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: Archetypal strategy of overcoming of world view conflict: experience of Israel, Kyiv, Ukraine.


7. **GOLUBCHYK Ganna**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Chair of Law and European Integration of Dnipropetrovsk Regional Institute of Public Administration, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: The Memory Wars in the modern Ukrainians’ public consciousness: administrative aspect. Dnipro, Ukraine.
8. **GULAY Vasyl**, Doctor of Political Sciences, Professor, Head of the Department of International Information, the National University “Lviv Polytechnic”. Theme: *Psychosocial, political and cultural factors of actualization of Ukrainian-Polish relation’s conflict potential at the present stage in the coordinates of “the war of monuments”*. Lviv, Ukraine.

9. **MOKH Mohamad Al Jawad**, postgraduate student of the Department of Philosophy, the National technical university “Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute”. Theme: *Archetypes vs. stereotypes in the intercultural dialogue: strategies and mechanisms of conflict solving in the traditions of Muslim Orient*. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

10. **DOVGAN Olexiy**, Candidate of Philological Sciences, Deputy Director of the Scientific Library, the National Academy of Managerial Staff of Culture and Arts. Theme: *Understanding the text in the context of neural networks (archetypal approach)*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

11. **KOZHEMIKA Oksana**, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Philosophical and Political Sciences, Cherkassy State Technological University. Theme: *Language of trust in resolving social conflicts*. Cherkasy, Ukraine.

12. **KOZAK Viacheslav**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Postdoctoral student of Dnipropetrovsk Regional Institute of Public Administration, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Forming the Ukrainian archetypal paradigm of the public administration in modern conflict country development condition*. Dnipro, Ukraine.

13. **KOZLOVA Liudmyla**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor of the Institute of Public Administration, The Black Sea National University of Petro Mohyla. Theme: *Mental, social and cultural features of the conflict’s formation in the context of decentralization*. Mykolaiv, Ukraine.

14. **KOZAKOV Volodymyr**, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Professor, Professor of the Chair of Public Policy and Social Development, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Ukrainian mentality as an archetypal factor for harmonization of social and power relations*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

15. **LAPINA Viktoria**, Candidate of Social Sciences, Research Scientist of НДЧ of the Faculty of Sociology, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Theme: *Globalization of the overconsumption archetype as a new source of social conflicts and social tension*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

16. **LASHKINA Mariia**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, assistant of the Member of Parliament of Ukraine. Theme: *Communicative component of conflict in the modern world: the birth of the archetype of the selfness*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

17. **MAHMoud Yaghi**, postgraduate student of the Department of Philosophy, the National technical university “Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute”. Theme: *Archetypes of economic behaviour and their origins in scientific-philosophic thought of Middle Eastern countries*. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

18. **MERKOTAN Kateryna**, Candidate of Political Sciences, independent researcher. Theme: *Fake information as a mean of inciting inter-state conflicts*. Borne Sulinowo, the Republic of Poland.

19. **NAPLYOKOV Yuriy**, Senior Lecturer of the Department of Training of Peacekeeping Personnel, the National Defense University of Ukraine named after Ivan Chernyahovsky. Theme: *Archetypal principles of the process for adoption of a conflict-free management decision*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

20. **NOVACHENKO Tetiana**, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Professor of the Chair of Parliamentarism and Political Management, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Synergetic concept of self-organization of subjects of social development: archetypical discourse*. Kyiv, Ukraine.


22. **RYBAKLYUChEVA Olha**, Candidate of Sciences in Law, Associate Professor, Head of the Educational and Methodical Department, the Academy of the Ministry of Interior of the Republic of Belarus, postdoctoral student of the Department of Public Administration of the Faculty of Law, Belarusian State University. Theme: *Archetype strategy for resolving of social conflicts in the work with personnel of the internal affairs bodies of the Republic of Belarus*. Minsk, the Republic of Belarus.

24. **ROZUMNYI Maksym**, Doctor of Political Sciences, Head of the Division of Political Strategies, the National Institute for Strategic Studies. Theme: “*Russian spring*: the magical realism of managing the social crisis. Kyiv, Ukraine.

25. **SAUKH Yurii**, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Peculiarities of socio-transformational processes: the archetypal nature of socio-political conflicts*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

26. **SERDECHNA Lyudmyla**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, postdoctoral student of the Chair of Public Policy and Social Development, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *The concept of advertising as a solution to the contradiction between social justice and economic efficiency (archetypal approach)*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

27. **SYCHOVA Anastasiia**, Candidate of Political Sciences, Senior Lecturer of the Department of History of Ukraine, Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University. Theme: *Political trust as a resource of cooperation between the state and society: an archetypical approach*. Starobilsk, Ukraine.

28. **SIRYI Evgen**, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor, Professor and Head of НДС the Faculty of Sociology, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Theme: *Evaluation of epistemological potential of deprivation as semantic core conceptualization of social tension today's Ukrainian community*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

29. **SOBOLNIKOV Valerii**, Doctor of Psychological Sciences, Professor, Professor of the Department of General Psychology and History of Psychology, Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University. Theme: *Archetypal issues of information impact as an element of cognitive modelling*. Novosibirsk, the Russian Federation.

30. **SOLOVIOV Yevhen**, postgraduate student of the Chair of Policy Analysis and Forecasting, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Theme: *Value and semantic archetypes of behaviour of forced migrants as a basis for development of mechanisms for their adaptation*. Poltava, Ukraine.

31. **STETSYUK Natalia**, Candidate of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor of the Department of Public management and Administration, Educational and Research Institute of Postgraduate Studies, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine. Theme: *The archetypes of the public authorities: governance arrangements political conflicts*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

32. **SUDAKOV Volodymyr**, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor, Head of Theory and History of Sociology Department, Faculty of Sociology, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Theme: *The global determinants of the conflict interactions in the contemporary models of employment (archetypical analysis)*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

33. **SUSHYI Olena**, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor, Head of the Laboratory for Methodology of Psychosocial and Political-Psychological Research of the Institute of Social and Political Psychology of the National Academy of Pedagogical Sciences of Ukraine. Theme: *Nation-forming process: archetypal reconstruction*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

34. **URBANOVA Eva**, Psychologist of ASSESSMENT s.r.o., **MAJEWSKA Petra**, Psychologist of ASSESSMENT s.r.o., **PASNICHENKO Victor**, Senior Lecturer of the Open European Academy of Economics and Politics.. Theme: *Loyalty, reliability, resistance to corruption: Psychological aspects of diagnostic options (the experience of the Czech Republic)*. Prague, the Czech Republic.

35. **KHOROLSKYI Oleksandr**, Candidate of Economic Sciences, Associate Professor, Deputy Head of PO «Ukrainian Innovation Fund People's Initiative». Theme: *The formation of a dynamic model of socio-economic relations in Ukraine: an archetypal approach*. Kyiv, Ukraine.

36. **TSEGENNIK Olga**, Candidate of Sciences in Law, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Financial Law and Legal Regulation of Economic Activity of the Faculty of Law,
Belorussian State University. Theme: “Investment conflict”: strategy and ways of the resolving of the psychological and social contradictions in the modern world. Minsk, the Republic of Belarus.

37. CHEPURENKO Yana, Candidate of Historic Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Department of Management, Informational and Analytical Activity and European Integration, the National Pedagogical Dragomanov University. Theme: Archetypal nature of the information infrastructure. Kyiv, Ukraine.

38. CHERNOIVANENKO Alina, Leading Specialist of the Division of Organisational and Methodological Provision, the Department of Informational Processes Management, Kharkiv Regional Institute of Public Administration, the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine. Тема: The role of political parties in the emergence and resolution of political conflicts in Ukraine: archetypal analysis. Kharkiv, Ukraine.

39. SHKLIAREVSKY Gennady, Doctor of Historic Sciences, Professor, Professor of Bard College, Bard, New York, USA. Theme: Networks, hierarchies and the modern global unrest. Bard, New York, USA.


41. YAREMENKO Natalya, Candidate of Philological Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Kryvyi Rih Pedagogical University, KOLOMIETS Natalia, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of the Kryvyi Rih Pedagogical University. Theme: The archetype of the “divine child” as the matrix of overcoming the crisis of the socio-humanitarian space. Kryvyi Rih, Ukraine.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The discussion will focus on defining the configuration of the changing world of international relations, the dynamics of globalization processes and the increasing influence of regional tendencies of globalization on the international situation. Scotsman Roland Robertson drew attention to them. The old principles of peace and security in Europe that are derived from the former (modern) worldview concepts of “the unity of the world – in its materiality” will be analysed. But the discourse is aimed at the search for new principles of international peace and security that arise on the background of spreading of social transformational processes in the world and strengthening of the socio-cultural factor on social development.

Moderator: PLAKHTIY Taras, independent researcher (Lviv, Ukraine)

AFONIN Eduard, Doctor of Social Sciences, Professor (Kyiv, Ukraine)

SAUKH Yurii, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, Associate Professor (Kyiv, Ukraine)

SUSHI Olena, Doctor of Sciences in Public Administration, Associate Professor (Kyiv, Ukraine)

VIII. AWARD CEREMONY (18.15-19.00)

- Performance of the experts
- Certifications award of TMS-2017, badges of the Ukrainian School of Archetypes and monetary awards.
- Common photo session

INFORMAL MEETING (19.00-21.00)
MICHEL MAFFESOLI: brief information

Michel Maffesoli (fr. *Michel Maffésoli*, 14 November 1944 in Graissessac, Hérault) is a French sociologist. He studied in Strasbourg and Grenoble. He is a former pupil of Gilbert Durand and Julien Freund, and an emeritus professor at Paris Descartes University, chief editor of the international journal Sociétés, which he has been publishing since 1982. M. Maffesoli together with G. Balandier founded the Centre d'études sur l'actuel et le quotidien. He is the director of the Cahiers Européens de l'imaginaire. He is a member of the editorial board of Sociologia Internationalis.

The works of Maffesoli are devoted to the problems of the post-modern era – the phenomena of global massive involvement, transformation of politics and political sphere, everyday sphere and transformation of the sacred, festive into everyday life, the phenomena of subordination and violence, phenomena of nomadism and new nature of identity in the modern world. The cross-cutting interest of all his works is the problematic of the imaginary, its embodiment in social and symbolic forms. While developing an understanding of culture and modernity by Max Weber and Georg Simmel, Maffesoli draws closer to the “sociology of the imagination” by Dietmar Kamper.

Original publications


Publications in Russian and Ukrainian


Key ideas of works by M. Maffesoli

Transition to postmodernism as the transformation from “social” to the “sociality”. The concept of social corresponds to the “society” (Gesellschaft by F. Tönnies), “Promethean” culture with its basis of social bounds in “mechanical solidarity” of E. Durkheim, characterized by instrumentalism, projectivity, rationality and teleology. The postmodern social order is described in terms of “community” (Gemeinschaft by F. Tönnies), the values of the “Dionysian” culture and the “organic” (or “orgastic”) solidarity with its enchantment of present, non-logical, immoral and communicational. The indication of coming “neo tribalism” era represents a “resurgent interest in everything natural and a sense of the re-enchantment world”.

The implosion of the politic finds its concentrated expression in the “irresponsible” masses, but their indifference to “high politics” seems to be passive only in the old coordinate system. In fact, it is “a dynamic position through which social life is refocused on the essential”. There is a peculiar position in “people's stoicism”: if person cannot or does not want to influence the situation, he/she stays indifferent. This indifference allows the individuals to concentrate the energy on the nearby process. The meaning of life is no longer attained in an unattainable utopia and not in a comprehensive rationalization of existence, but [in mastering existential experience] “here-and-now”. And if political activism was the dominant value in times of modernity, while a key value of postmodernity is an aesthetically colored “non-action”.

Postmodern “aesthetics” is not limited only by “the fine arts” but covers the whole complex of everyday life. "Aesthetics" implies a private strategy: now the world is being used for pleasure, and not for dominating over it. This usage is common & shared with others: according to Maffesoli, “the aesthetics in this sense is synonymous to the intersubjectivity”. “Aesthetics” is an experience of the shared feelings. It means the constant interaction, where it is essential to “co-exist” with others. Contrary to the narcissism and hedonism, which may seem from the postmodern aesthetic perception of everyday life in the old system of spiritual coordinates, but indeed new social ethics is being stated – “aesthetical ethics”.

The implosion of a rationally organized modern political body does not mean “the end of social” but it designs and develops postmodern sociality, which is structured by the “culture of feelings”.

Political implosion does not represent a catastrophe, instead it represents the “transformation” in form of the “tribes”, which are engendered by the collective people’s life in modern megapolises. In the same way as the primitive tribal communities were organically linked to the “mesocosm” of their environment and thereby they identified themselves in the social environment they shared. The modern “tribes” are connected with their quarters, streets, places of hanging out in the “stone jungle” and thereby they create a specific community of their members, providing them with the identification.

Postmodern “self” has nothing to do with the Cartesian “I think”, nor with an autonomous individual as a participant in a social contract. This is the porous self, which is in a state of constant trance and therefore it inclined to join the feelings experienced by others, which ensures the security in the “archetypal community”.

The “tribalization” of the social world means “the return to the tribal ideal at the expense of the societal ideal. The process of the social development can be studied in the positive way by sociology, although not in the form of a levelling universal theory, but from the point of view of the “speculative empiricism” that develops a “receptive mind” that is capable to consider the most diverse elements of social reality.

Conceptualizing symbolic as the prototype of political, one can speak about the “coexistence imaginal power” as a constant in the bizarre progress of human history, due to which the underworld communities have the institutional power. This power can be channelled by the established power,
but it does not cease to exert its latent pressure on it. From time to time, this pressure comes out in a rigid form of explosion and makes rising a new era (modern in particular), within which social evolution results in the implosion.

The inversional interpretation of everyday life that removes the binary opposition between sacred and profane together with the method of “tribal empathy” allows us to speak about the transformation from the “individual” into a “persona” using the “masks” as the polyfunctional characteristics and from the “groups” or “organizations” into the “tribe”, which is understood as a micro-community with free membership, or as an intersubjectivity based on affect, most often spontaneous, dispersed, unstable consolidation of sociality. A distinctive feature of tribes reveals in their “immanent transcendence” - a condition in which the sociality transcends its members, but the sociality itself stays not transcended. At the same time, the borders of the mass and the tribe are extremely unstable and not clear, due to the “constant oncoming traffic” and the change of “masks”.

Hereby the processes of sensationalization, mythologization and the revival of the territory, body, orgies, spectacle and enjoyment values designate a regression to the archaic and constitutes sociality as a daily occurrence.
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Тарас Плахтій. Методика групової обговорення у форматах двовимірної та тривимірної динамічних мереж

In our publication, “Management of group dynamics in primary cells of socio-political organizations” (http://politiko.ua/blogpost74247) there was a description of the tools for management of group dynamics in primary cells of socio-political organizations by introducing the algorithms and rules that we proposed for interactions of their members, who together constitute a dynamic network. Dynamic network is a variable structure of socio-political organizations that organizes and keeps in a conflict-free state the interaction of all its members through their cyclical restructuring into small groups of different functional purposes according to a certain algorithm, that ensures the development, harmonization and adoption of collective decisions by the participants in the “equal with equal” positions, and execution – in the plural of temporary hierarchical design, executive and process groups with responsible executors at the head.

The algorithm for developing, agreeing and making collective decisions is developed by combining of two components into one entity:

1) the methods of classical brainstorming, during the first part of which participants put forward ideas without criticism, discuss them at the second stage in groups with another composition;

2) the methods of cross-groups that is used in pedagogy, which includes the assimilation in the initial groups of parts of a certain array of information and mutual learning by the participants of these parts in cross-groups.

Conflict-free work of participants is provided by algorithmic reproduction of their interaction not as separate individuals, but as representatives of previously disbanded functional groups – carriers of coordinated group decisions. Potential intergroup conflicts are overcome by a continuous change in the composition of cross-groups, which contributes to the formation of a field of trust between all participants and makes it impossible to consolidate the internal group framework.

Implementation of decisions is in accordance with the provisions of modern project and process management.

The proposed algorithm for developing, agreeing and making collective decisions in a dynamic network can be used to hold seminars, conferences, conventions, forums – that is events that take place in the form of meetings of large groups of people. Depending on the number of participants in the meetings, a two-dimensional or three-dimensional dynamic network algorithm is used. The choice of the type of dynamic network is based on the calculation, which comes from a well-known thesis about the optimal number of team members – a small group of 7 (+/- 2) people. In social psychology, a group of 5 people is considered to be the most effective as a result of the fact that in such a group there is no formation of a click, and, consequently, it has a low propensity for the appearance of intra-group conflicts. However, as practice shows, a group of 9 people is also quite workable, and the maximum number of one working group should not exceed 12 people. At the same time, the maximum number of issues of equal value for discussion that can be comprehensively discussed by the gathering to develop specific solutions within one session for 2-3 hours should not exceed five issues. With more issues, due to the natural fatigue of participants, the quality of the discussion decreases and, accordingly, the level of solutions developed. Thus, the maximum number of the group that can work in a two-dimensional dynamic network over 5 issues is 40-60 people. As it will be shown below, in a three-dimensional dynamic network, which can integrate up to 12 two-dimensional dynamic networks, more than 700 participants can work simultaneously. In certain cases, by complicating the algorithm and increasing the discussion time, the number of issues and the number of working groups, and also increasing the discussion time, one can involve up to two thousand participants into the joint work. And it is assumed that all the participants involved will be able to discuss all the issues posed. In case when this restriction is not mandatory, the number of issues
can be increased to several dozen, and the number of participants can be increased from several hun-
dred to several thousand people.

We shall note that for each individual case of teamwork, a network type is chosen as part of a
large group of participants and an optimal algorithm for its work is designed, the quantitative com-
position of the working groups is determined, and the personal protocols of the participants are
formed.

**Scheme 1.**

The algorithm for the operation of a two-dimensional dynamic network

The two-dimensional dynamic network, which is presented in Scheme 1, includes the fol-
lowing steps of work:

1. **Plenary session,** where the task is set, the presentation of the methods of joint work and
   the division of participants into profile groups are made. For this, participants are structured into
   small groups of approximately the same size in accordance with their competence in the areas or
   profiles of activities or other criteria that are determined by the type of tasks to be addressed. The
duration of the first step is 10-30 minutes.

2. **Work in profile groups** by the method of brainstorming, when participants discuss tasks
   and generate alternatives or components of solutions without their critical analysis. This allows you
   to almost completely avoid conflicts, which positively affects the relationship between participants
   and contributes to the creative self-realization of each of them. The result of work in the second step
   is a list of alternatives or components of the solution, developed by the group, in the personal proto-
   col of each participant. The duration of the second step is 20-40 minutes and depends on the com-
   plexity of the profile questions.

3. **Work in cross-sections,** each participant of which represents his or her own profile group. They take turns reporting on the produced alternatives or components of decisions in their profile
groups and in the process of discussion they receive comments, criticisms, advice, additions, new
alternatives or components of decisions from colleagues that they contribute to the personal protocol.

Cross-section work corresponds to the second stage of the brainstorming procedure – dis-
cussing the alternatives or making decisions in the groups with other participants. A special feature
of this step is an unbiased critique by the cross-sections participants of the made alternatives or com-
ponents of a solution from an impersonal list – as they did not participate in their development and,
therefore, were not personally interested in promoting one of them to consolidate their rank. In such
circumstances, the speaker has the opportunity to assess the attitude of colleagues to each of the al-
ternatives or components of the solution presented to them without regard to their authorship and to
obtain additional information in the discussion process. And in cross-section groups everyone in turn
acts as a speaker from own profile group, and as an expert, which, along with other experts, discuss-
es the panel's report.

Since each of the speakers is the carrier of opinion of the profile group (in this case – a carri-
er of a set of produced alternatives or components of solutions), not a single member of the cross-
section group is able in an irrational way – due to his or her charisma, authority or psychologically
emotional impact, to force the speaker to change this opinion. Even the collective opinion of the
cross-group cannot outweigh the opinion of the profile group, since the latter consists of the most competent participants of this profile.

Described distribution of role positions for interaction of participants in cross-group and the algorithm for their interleaving eliminates the conflicts that accompany the ranking process and determine the expression of opinion leaders, stabilizes the dynamics of socio-psychological processes in the group; it gives each participant of the primary focus the opportunity to efficiently influence the formation of the solutions during the discussion of alternatives or their components, and it also allows all participants to develop their own positions for each alternative or component of solutions based on the analysis of all available information, which circulates freely in the organization and is based on a comparison of different positions both groups as a whole, and the position of each particular colleague. The duration of the third step is 30-60 minutes and depends on the number and complexity of the profile issues.

4. **Work in the initial profile groups** in which participants summarize, discuss and agree all the information received in the cross-sections and on its basis, they produce a draft (or alternative projects) of the final solution and select or appoint a speaker. The peculiarity of the work at this stage is a cardinal change in the status of the participants - now each of them represents his or her own cross-group, that strengthens his or her position and neutralizes the pressure from both potential informal leaders and group pressure in general, eliminating the need for conformist behaviour. This allows each participant to actively oppose even recognized authorities, which would be impossible in a group with classical dynamics for the flow of socio-psychological processes. The duration of the fourth step is 20-40 minutes and depends on the complexity of the profile issues.

5. **Plenary session**, during which the representatives of the profile groups announce the agreed drafts of their solutions, that are adopted by consensus or, if necessary, put to the vote without discussion and are adopted by a qualified majority of 2/3 or 3/4 — depending on the requirements for the adoption of that or another type of solution. The duration of the fifth step is 20-40 minutes and depends on the number and size of draft solutions.

The optimum duration of a two-dimensional dynamic network is 2-3 hours and it depends on the number of participants and the number and size of issues introduced for discussion.

**The three-dimensional dynamic network** includes the first four steps of the work of a set of two-dimensional dynamic networks, implemented simultaneously, as well as additional steps to harmonize the developed solutions.

For example, Scheme 2 presents an algorithm for the operation of a three-dimensional dynamic network for discussion of four profile issues, which is formed on the basis of three two-dimensional dynamic networks. Below there are four additional steps that allow the participants of such a meeting to discuss all the issues raised and agree a common solution for each of them (the numbering of steps is continued after the first four steps of a two-dimensional dynamic network).

5. **Work in matching groups** in which each participant of the same profile groups of different two-dimensional dynamic networks presents the results of their work in the form of a draft(s) solution. In the process of presentation, other participants discover identities and differences with their own draft solutions and note them in personal protocols. Personality conflicts during work in the matching groups are blocked by the mechanisms described above for cross-groups. The duration of the step is 30-60 minutes and it depends on the number and complexity of the profile issues.

6. **Work in the initial profile groups** in which participants summarize and discuss all the information received in the matching groups and on its basis, they develop their proposals for the project (or alternative projects) of the final solution and select or appoint a member of the editorial board – a representative of their profile group. Conflict blocking occurs according to the mechanisms that were described for step 4 of a two-dimensional dynamic network. The duration of the step is 20-40 minutes and depends on the complexity of the profile issues.

7. **The work of the editorial board** in the composition of groups, the number of which corresponds to the number of issues submitted for discussion. Participants of each of them represent the corresponding profile groups of parallel two-dimensional dynamic networks. In the process of discussion, they agree on a joint draft(s) of the final solution, that are then copied for all participants. Personal conflicts during the work of the editorial board are blocked by the mechanisms described
above for cross-groups. If necessary (for example, to agree draft solutions on various profile issues) and if time permits, the work of the editorial board can occur according to the algorithm of the two-dimensional dynamic network. The duration of the work of the editorial board is from 30 to 120 minutes and depends on the number and complexity of the profile issues discussed.

8. **Plenary session**, during which representatives of specialized groups of the editorial board declare agreed draft solutions that are adopted by consensus or, if necessary, put to the vote without discussion and are adopted by a qualified majority of 2/3 or 3/4 – depending on the requirements for the adoption of one or other type of solutions. The duration of the fifth step is 30-60 minutes and depends on the number and size of draft solutions.

![Scheme 2. The algorithm for the operation of a three-dimensional dynamic network](image)

The optimal working time of a three-dimensional dynamic network is 4-7 hours and it depends on the number of two-dimensional dynamic networks underlying it, and the number and size of issues discussed.

An example of the personal protocol for the operation of a three-dimensional dynamic network is shown in Scheme 3.

![Scheme 3. Personal protocol of work of the participant of the three-dimensional dynamic network](image)
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TMS-2017 will be welcomed by the National Academy for Public Administration under the President of Ukraine (NAPA: 03057, Kyiv, 12/2, Pugacheva Str.), which is situated near “Lukianivska” Metro Station (№ 312 according to the lines of Kyiv Metro).

Кафедра політичної аналітики та прогнозування НАДУ, адреса: вул. Софії Перовської, 5, 10 поверх, кімн. 1000.
тел./факс: +38 044 455 6763 (методист – Олійник Інна Михайлівна)
моб. +38 067 244 4659
(наук. кер. ТМС – Афонін Едуард Андрійович)

ROUTES:

Kyiv Railway Station – NAPA hotel (36/1 Melnykova Str.):
- NAPA academic building (NAPA, 12/2, Pugacheva Str.):
“Vokzalna” Metro Station (117) – “Teatrakna” Metro Station (119) – transfer to “Zoloti vorota” Metro Station (314) – “Lukianivska” Metro Station (312) – trolleybus / public taxi bus №№ 16, 19, 23 from “Lukianivska” bus stop to “Puhachova” bus stop – 36/1 Melnykova Str. (NAPA hotel).